Interview Summary    09/199,874     SEGRE ET AL		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner   Art Unit   Yvonne Eyler   1646	Interview Summary	09/199,874	SEGRE ET AL.
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Yvonne Eyler. (3) Todd Garcia.  (2) Janice Fraser. (4)  Date of Interview: 18 September 2002.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and that possession of all of the species is not necessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on the substance of the interview requirements on the substance of the interview requirements on the substance of interview requirements		Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Yvonne Eyler. (3) Todd Garcia.  (2) Janice Fraser. (4)  Date of Interview: 18 September 2002.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and the possession of all of the species is not necessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Offication has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements of the substance of the interview requirements of the subst		Yvonne Eyler	1646
Date of Interview: 18 September 2002.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ .  Claim(s) discussed: ☐ .  Identification of prior art discussed: ☐ .  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and the possession of all of the species is not necessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the cla allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Offication has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements o	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	, PTO personnel):	
Date of Interview: 18 September 2002.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ .  Claim(s) discussed: ☐ .  Identification of prior art discussed: ☐ .  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and that possession of all of the species is not necessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Offication has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements or	1) <u>Yvonne Eyler</u> .	(3) Todd Garcia.	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:	2) <u>Janice Fraser</u> .	(4)	
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and that possession of all of the species is not neccessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Offication has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements of the substance of the requirements of the substance of the replicance of the substance of the substance of the substance of the action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A	Date of Interview: 18 September 2002.		
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed:  Identification of prior art discussed:  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and the possession of all of the species is not neccesary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Off action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements o			entative]
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and that possession of all of the species is not necessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Off action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements of		∕es e)⊡ No.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and that possession of all of the species is not necessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Off action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements of	Claim(s) discussed:		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and that possession of all of the species is not neccesary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Officiation has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements or	Identification of prior art discussed:		
reached, or any other comments: Discussed the basis of rejections over "naturally occurring" and developed arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that one of skill in the art knows what is meant by the term and that possession of all of the species is not necessary for a method claim.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements or	Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was rea	iched. g)⊡ was not reache	d. h)□ N/A.
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Offi action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements or	reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the ba</u> arguments rebutting them. Arguments included that	sis of rejections over "naturall one of skill in the art knows w	y occurring" and developed
checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTIO MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Offi action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements of the substance of	allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, whe	re no copy of the amendments	
MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Off action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements o		de a separate record of the su	bstance of the interview(if box is
	MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE	RVIEW. (See MPEP Section in None Month From This is	713.04).  If a reply to the last Off INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required